

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,151	12/14/2001	Joe C. Spurgeon	738-P-1-USA	2631	
7590 10/03/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
DRUMMOND & DUCKWORTH 5000 BIRCH STREET			RAEVIS, R	RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
SUITE 440 EAST TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			2856		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4,		Application N .	Appli ant(s)			
		10/017,151	SPURGEON, JOE C.			
*~\**	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert R. Raevis	2856			
	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
1)□ 2a)□	•	—· is action is non-final.	·			
3)□	,—		osecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	ion of Claims	•				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and T	rademark Office					

(a) Application/Control Number: 10/017,151

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-3, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, the "cassette" is not connected to remaining limitations, and thus the "apparatus" (line 1) is really an aggregation of parts. Also, is there any particularly limiting meaning to be attributed to the word "cassette" imply? Does it mean any filter holder or a container?

As to claim 7, what does "tailored" mean? Does it simply mean that the portal can be viewed with any microscope?

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Basch et al.

Basch et al teach an apparatus, including: body 30 with inlet and outlet ports, filter 90, and plate 92 and/or 86 having a portal.

As to claim 1, elements 92 and/or 86 are flat like a plate, and is removable for purposes of replacing the filter. Also, the plate restricts flow through.

As to claim 3, portion 92 localizes the area to be utilized.

As to claim 4, the plate is/are in the body.

As to claims 5, 6, note that the plate 92 and /or 86 include/s ports at both the upper and lower surfaces or each/both of the plates.

As to claim 7, the size of Basch's sampler is such that it may be viewed by a microscope. Thus, it is "tailored" for such.

As to claim 9, element 92 is flat like a plate, and is positioned in an anterior fashion to the filter. Also, the plate restricts flow through.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 5. applicant's disclosure.

Vandrish's filter 12 adjacent a restriction plate 10 or 14.

Kaczmarek et al teach a sampler with fluid inlet and outlet in Figure 2A. Smith teach rectangular slide 35 usage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

ROWS AUZ856